Case 3:12-cv-00372-WQH-WMC Document 28 Filed 11/30/12 Page 1 of 1 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

NOTICE OF DOCUMENT DISCREPANCIES

1 - da - ()	1 1 4	120	-
1		***	- 11
1,20	17	1,71	n
21 11	P	Figure 2	-11

			2012 NOV 30 AMid bade for Clerk's Office File Stamp		
TC	: AU. S. DISTRIC	T JUDGE / 🗆 U. S. MAGISTRATE JUDGE	HomuWilliam Qr Hayesurorn		
FR	OM: D. Sutton	Deputy Clerk RECEIVE	ED DATEKO 11/28/2012		
15,4		-WOH-WMC DOCUMENT FILED BY:	Princess Samantha Kennedy		
CA		y v. Paramount Pictures			
DÇ	CUMENT ENTITLE				
Upon the submission of the attached document(s), the following discrepancies are noted:					
/	Local Rule	Discrepancy			
	5.1 5.3 5.4 7.1 or 47.1 7.1 or 47.1 7.1 or 47.1 7.1 30.1	Missing time and date on motion and/or support Document illegible or submitted on thermal face Document not filed electronically. Notice of N Date noticed for hearing not in compliance with Lacking memorandum of points and authorities Briefs or memoranda exceed length restrictions Missing table of contents Amended pleading not complete in itself Depositions not accepted absent a court order Supplemental documents require court order	imile paper oncompliance already issued. rules/Document(s) are not timely in support as a separate document		
		Default Judgment in sum certain includes calcul OTHER: Da	te forwarded: 11/28/2012		
T I	S HEREBY ORDER	ORDER OF THE JUDGE / MAGISTRATE.	<u>JUDGE</u>		
K		be filed nunc pro tunc to date received.			
The document is NOT to be filed, but instead REJECTED, and it is ORDERED that the Clerk serve a copy of this order on all parties.					
Rejected document to be returned to pro se or inmate? Yes. Court Copy retained by chambers					
Counsel is advised that any further failure to comply with the Local Rules may lead to penalties pursuant to Local Rule 83.1 CHAMBERS OF: WOL					
	Dated: \\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	By: 100			